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Requirements for Evolved UTRA and UTRAN

- **Spectrum**
  - Support of scalable bandwidths, i.e., 1.4, 3, 5, 10, 15, and 20 MHz
- **Packet-switching (PS) mode only**
  - VoIP capability in PS domain
- **Latency**
  - Short C-plane latency (transition time)
    - Idle to active: Less than 100 msec
    - Dormant to active: Less than 50 msec
  - U-plane latency
    - Latency in RAN is less than 5 msec one way
- **Peak data rate**
  - DL: 100 Mbps, UL: 50 Mbps
- **User throughput (relative to Rel-6 HSDPA, HSUPA)**
  - Cell edge user throughput: 2 – 3 times (DL), 2 – 3 times (UL)
  - Average user throughput: 3 – 4 times (DL), 2 – 3 times (UL)
- **Spectrum efficiency (relative to Rel-6 HSDPA, HSUPA)**
  - 3 – 4 times (DL), 2 – 3 times (UL)
Frame Structure in Evolved UTRA

Radio frame = 10 msec
1 sub-frame = 2 slots = 1 msec ➔ Transmission Time Interval (TTI)
1 slot = 0.5 msec

Slot 1  Slot 2  Slot 3  Slot 4  •••••••••••••• Slot 19 Slot 20
Symbol 1  Symbol 2  •••• Symbol 7

| CP | Effective data |

- Short sub-frame length
  Adopted 1-msec sub-frame length to achieve short round trip delay (RTD)
- Common frame structure between FDD and TDD
- Inserted cyclic prefix (CP) at each FFT block to avoid inter-block interference both in DL and UL
- Defined sub-frame with long CP and smaller number of symbols to provide MBMS (Multimedia Broadcast Multicast Service) with single-frequency network (SFN) in DL
## Major Radio Link Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrier frequency</th>
<th>IMT band</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple access scheme</td>
<td>UL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission bandwidth</td>
<td>1.4, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-frame length</td>
<td>1 msec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-carrier spacing</td>
<td>15 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic prefix length</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation scheme</td>
<td>QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Optional in UL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel coding</td>
<td>Turbo coding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-antenna</td>
<td>1-by-2, 2-by-2 (4-by-2), 4-by-4 MIMO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OFDM-Based Downlink Radio Access

- Robust against multipath interference (MPI)
- Flexibly accommodates different spectrum arrangements
- High affinity to advanced techniques
  - Frequency domain channel-dependent scheduling
  - MIMO multiplexing/diversity
  - High quality reception using soft combining for MBMS signal

(Different colors represent different users)
Single-Carrier-Based Uplink Radio Access

- Single-carrier based FDMA access in uplink
  - Low PAPR (Peak-to-average power ratio) achieves wide area coverage using limited transmission power
  - Localized and/or distributed FDMA establishes intra-cell orthogonality in frequency domain
  - Employs frequency domain equalizer with cyclic prefix to suppress MPI *


![Diagram of DFT-Spread OFDM](image)
Major Radio Access Features in Rel-8 LTE (1)

- **Inter-Node B (cell) synchronization**
  - Radio interface supports **inter-Node B asynchronous mode as baseline**
  - Utilize merits of synchronized operation for MBMS with SFN and inter-cell interference coordination (ICIC), etc.

- **Support of scalable transmission bandwidths**
  - 1.4, 3.0, 5, 10, 15, 20 MHz

- **Support of packet based radio access only**
  - Simple protocol architecture using **shared channel**
  - Support VoIP capability

- **Cell search**: Process to search for best cell with minimum path loss
  - SCH (Synchronization Channel) and Physical BCH (Broadcast Channel) structures supporting unified cell search in scalable transmission bandwidth from 1.4 to 20 MHz
  - Hierarchical SCH structure

- **Reference signal (RS)**: Used for channel estimation and channel-quality measurement
  - Orthogonal RSs between transmitter antennas in MIMO

- **Application of essential techniques for packet radio access**
  - Frequency domain scheduling, AMC, Hybrid ARQ, Transmission power control, RACH, etc.
Major Radio Access Features in Rel-8 LTE (2)

- Efficient control signal structure
  - PBCH (Physical BCH) signal with time diversity
  - DL L1/L2 control signals: PCFICH, PHICH, PDCCH
  - UL L1/L2 control signals: PUCCH using intra-TTI frequency hopping

- Application of MIMO channel transmission
  - Baseline is 2-by-2 MIMO in DL and 1-by-2 SIMO in UL
  - Single-user MIMO and multiuser MIMO (multiuser MIMO only in UL)

- Shorter delay (latency)
  - Reduce transmission and connection delays
  - Achieve short control delay and interruption time during handover
    - Short TTI, Simplified RRC procedure, Simple RRC states

- High-quality MBMS (Multimedia Broadcast Multicast Service)
  - Synchronous transmissions from multiple cell sites and soft-combining reception at a UE in SFN using OFDM

- Simple channel structures
  - Decrease number of physical and transport channels
  - Simple mapping between channels belonging to different layers
Targets for IMT-Advanced
Recommendation ITU-R M.1645
Framework and overall objectives for the future development of IMT-2000 and systems beyond IMT-2000

**Targets for IMT-Advanced**

- **New capabilities for Systems Beyond IMT-2000**
  - Systems Beyond IMT-2000 will encompass the capabilities of previous systems.
  - Dashed line indicates that the exact data rates associated with Systems Beyond are not yet determined.

**Illustration of capabilities for IMT-2000 and systems beyond IMT-2000**

- **100 Mbps**
  - IMT-ADVANCED
  - New capabilities for Systems Beyond IMT-2000

- **1 Gbps**
  - LTE (Super 3G)

- **4G**
  - New Mobile Access
  - New Nomadic / Local Area Wireless Access

- **Peak Useful Data Rate (Mb/s)**
  - 1
  - 10
  - 100
  - 1000
Schedule for IMT-Advanced

**In 3GPP, LTE-Advanced is regarded as IMT-Advanced**
**DOCOMO continues to contribute to IMT-Advanced**

**In 3GPP, LTE-Advanced is regarded as IMT-Advanced**
**DOCOMO continues to contribute to IMT-Advanced**
Requirements for LTE-Advanced
High-Level Requirements for LTE-Advanced

- LTE-Advanced should be real broadband wireless networks that provide peak data rates equal to or greater than those for wired networks, i.e., FTTH (Fiber To The Home), while maintaining equivalent QoS.
- Requires complete backward compatibility, i.e., full support of Rel-8 LTE and its enhancement in LTE-Advanced.
- High-level requirements:
  - Reduced network cost (cost per bit)
  - Better service provisioning
  - Compatibility with 3GPP systems

- Minimum requirement for LTE-Advanced is to meet or exceed IMT-Advanced requirements within ITU-R time plan.
- Furthermore, LTE-Advanced targets performance higher than that for Rel-8 LTE in order to satisfy future user demand and to be a competitive mobile communications system.
Radio Access Requirements

- Full support of Rel-8 LTE and its enhancement within the same spectrum
  - Basically same radio parameters and multi-access schemes
- Lower latencies in C-plane and U-plane compared to those in Rel-8 LTE
- Improve system performance
  - Peak spectrum efficiency
  - Capacity (average spectrum efficiency)
  - Cell edge user throughput
  - VoIP capacity ➔ Higher capacity than in Rel-8 LTE
  - Mobility ➔ Improve system performance in low mobility up to 10 km/h
  - Coverage ➔ Equal or wider coverage than in Rel-8 LTE
Performance Requirements (1)

- **Peak data rate**
  - Need higher peak data rates in LTE-Advanced than those for LTE in order to satisfy future traffic demands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LTE (Rel-8)</th>
<th>LTE-Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>300 Mbps</td>
<td>x3.3 1 Gbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL</td>
<td>75 Mbps</td>
<td>x6.6 500 Mbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Peak spectrum efficiency**
  - Must reduce bit cost per Hertz and improve user throughput particularly in local areas
  - Higher peak spectrum efficiency is beneficial to achieving higher peak data rate with limited available transmission bandwidth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LTE (Rel-8)</th>
<th>LTE-Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>15 bps/Hz (4 streams)</td>
<td>x2.0 30 bps/Hz (8 streams)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL</td>
<td>3.75 bps/Hz (1 stream)</td>
<td>x4.0 15 bps/Hz (4 streams)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Wider transmission bandwidth
- Higher-order MIMO
### Performance Requirements (2)

#### Capacity (Average spectrum efficiency)
- Need higher capacity to reduce further network cost per bit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LTE (Rel-8)</th>
<th>LTE-Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>1.69 bps/Hz/cell (2-by-2 MIMO)</td>
<td>3.7 bps/Hz/cell (4-by-4 MIMO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL</td>
<td>0.735 bps/Hz/cell (1-by-2 SIMO)</td>
<td>2.0 bps/Hz/cell (2-by-4 MIMO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Wider transmission bandwidth
- OFDM in uplink
- Higher-order MIMO
- Multi-cell transmission/reception
- Advanced receiver

#### Cell edge user throughput
- Need higher cell edge user throughput compared to that for LTE to provide better services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LTE (Rel-8)</th>
<th>LTE-Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>0.05 bps/Hz/cell (2-by-2 MIMO)</td>
<td>0.12 bps/Hz/cell (4-by-4 MIMO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL</td>
<td>0.028 bps/Hz/cell (1-by-2 SIMO)</td>
<td>0.07 bps/Hz/cell (2-by-4 MIMO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Higher-order MIMO
- Multi-cell transmission/reception
- Advanced receiver

* Target values are for Case 1 scenario in 3GPP, which is similar to Base Coverage Urban scenario in IMT.EVAL

Expect to satisfy these target values by
- increasing number of Rx antennas (approximately 1.5 times)
- increasing number of Tx antennas (approximately 1.1 times)
- employing other new/enhanced techniques (approximately 1.4 – 1.6 times)
Radio Access Techniques for LTE-Advanced
Proposed Techniques for LTE-Advanced

Proposed radio access techniques for LTE-Advanced

1. Asymmetric wider transmission bandwidth
2. Layered OFDMA multi-access
3. Advanced multi-cell transmission/reception techniques
4. Enhanced multi-antenna transmission techniques
5. Enhanced techniques to extend coverage area
Asymmetric Wider Transmission Bandwidth
Support of Wider Bandwidth

- Require wider transmission bandwidth near 100 MHz to reduce bit cost per Hertz and to achieve peak data rate higher than 1 Gbps
- Continuous and discontinuous spectrum allocations
  - **Continuous spectrum usage**
    - Can simplify eNB and UE configuration
    - Possible frequency allocation in new band, e.g., 3.4 – 3.8 GHz band
    - In this case, the same sub-carrier separation should be maintained over the entire system bandwidth ➔ Simple UE with single FFT
  - **Discontinuous spectrum usage**
    - Requires spectrum aggregation ➔ UE has multiple RF receivers and multiple FFTs
    - Hence, UE capability for supportable spectrum aggregation should be specified so that increases in UE size, cost, and power consumption are minimized
Asymmetric Transmission Bandwidth

- **Asymmetric transmission bandwidth**
  - Required bandwidth in uplink will be much narrower than that in downlink considering current and future traffic demands in cellular networks
  - In FDD, asymmetric transmission bandwidth eases pair band assignment
  - In TDD, narrower transmission bandwidth is beneficial in uplink, since an excessively wider transmission bandwidth degrades accuracies of channel estimation and CQI (Channel Quality Indicator) estimation

→ Propose asymmetric transmission bandwidth in both FDD and TDD (e.g., near 100 MHz in DL and near 40 MHz in UL)
Layered OFDMA Multi-access
Layered OFDMA

- Requirements for multi-access scheme
  - Support of transmission bandwidth wider than 20 MHz, i.e., near 100 MHz, to achieve peak data rate requirements, e.g., higher than 1 Gbps
  - Coexist with Rel-8 LTE in the same system bandwidth as LTE-Advanced
  - Optimize tradeoff between achievable performance and control signaling overhead
    - Obtain sufficient frequency diversity gain when transmission bandwidth is approximately 20 MHz
    - Control signaling overhead increases according to increase in transmission bandwidth
  - Efficient support of scalable bandwidth to accommodate various spectrum allocations

- Propose Layered OFDMA radio access scheme in LTE-Advanced
  - Layered transmission bandwidth
  - Support of layered environments
  - Layered control signal formats
Layered Transmission Bandwidth (1)

- Layered transmission bandwidths
  - Layered structure comprising multiple basic frequency blocks
    - Entire system bandwidth comprises multiple basic frequency blocks
    - Bandwidth of basic frequency block is, e.g., 15 – 20 MHz
  - Principle of UE access method
    - LTE-A UE with different capability and Rel.8-LTE UE can camp at any basic frequency block(s)

Our concept was adopted in agreements at RAN WG1#53bis as carrier aggregation comprising two or more component carriers (corresponding basic frequency block)

System bandwidth, e.g., 100 MHz

Basic bandwidth, e.g., 20 MHz

Center frequency on UMTS raster (on DC sub-carrier, SCH, and PBCH)

UE capabilities
- 100-MHz case
- 40-MHz case
- 20-MHz case (Rel-8 LTE)
Layered Transmission Bandwidth (2)

Layered transmission bandwidths

- Center frequency of each component carrier (basic frequency block) should be located on 100-kHz UMTS channel raster
- Synchronization Channel (SCH) and Physical Broadcast Channel (PBCH) are transmitted from all component carriers
  ➔ Rel-8 LTE UE can camp at any component carriers in LTE-Advanced frequency band

- For continuous spectrum usage, reduce number of sub-carriers based on bandwidths defined in Rel-8 LTE or insert sub-carriers between component carriers to satisfy the two conditions
Support of Layered Environments

- **Support of layered environments**
  - Achieves highest data rate (user throughput) or widest coverage according to respective radio environments such as macro, micro, indoor, and hotspot cells and required QoS
  - MIMO channel transmission (MIMO multiplexing/MIMO diversity) with high gain should be used particularly in local areas
  
  ➔ Adaptive multi-access control according to radio environment
Propose SC/MC hybrid radio access, i.e., to introduce OFDM in addition to DFT-Spread OFDM in uplink.

Introdution of OFDM as complement to DFT-Spread OFDM is under discussion in RAN WG1 meeting.

- Universal switching of SC/MC based access using frequency domain multiplexing/de-multiplexing.
UL Hybrid Radio Access Scheme (2)

**Merits of SC/MC hybrid radio access in uplink**

- **High gain in user throughput**
  - OFDM has higher robustness against MPI than DFT-Spread OFDM
  - OFDM provides higher user throughput than DFT-Spread OFDM when MIMO transmission is employed
  - Radio interface should be designed to support any kind of receiver → OFDM provides much higher gain in MLD-based signal detection than SIC etc.

- **Flexibility of resource assignment**
  - SC-FDMA with DFT-Spread OFDM provides inefficient resource assignment when wideband transmission UE is assigned (e.g., PUCCH is transmitted in the middle of transmission bandwidth)
  - Requires more flexible resource assignment using non-contiguous RB allocation
UL Hybrid Radio Access Scheme (3)

One deployment scenario to introduce SC/MC hybrid radio access

- **Performance improvement**
  - Optimization of PAPR (coverage) and achievable peak data rate according to inter-site distance, cell structure, and QoS requirements
  - High affinity to UL MIMO transmission
- **Reduction in number of implementation options**
  - Fewer options for implementation and testing
  - Reduce variations in UE categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transmission bandwidth of less than 20 MHz</th>
<th>Transmission bandwidth wider than 20 MHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>One stream (rank 1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Two streams (rank 2)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clustered DFT-Spread OFDM</td>
<td>• OFDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➔ Add clustered function to Rel-8 LTE</td>
<td>➔ Add OFDM function (and/or)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clustered DFT-Spread OFDM</td>
<td>• OFDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➔ Add clustered function to Rel-8 LTE</td>
<td>➔ Add OFDM function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Layered Control Signal Formats (1)

- Straightforward extension of L1/L2 control signal format of Rel-8 LTE to LTE-Advanced
  - Independent control channel structure for each component carrier
  - Control channel supports only shared channel belonging to the same component carrier

- Propose layered L1/L2 control signal formats
  - Achieve high commonality with control signal formats in Rel-8 LTE
  - Use layered L1/L2 control signal formats according to assigned transmission bandwidth to achieve efficient control signal transmission for LTE-Advanced
Interleaver structure for layered control signal formats

Require new interleaver / mapping scheme to support layered L1/L2 control signal structure

- Control Channel Elements (CCEs) for Rel-8 LTE are mapped to one component carrier
- CCEs for LTE-A are mapped to multiple component carriers
Advanced Multi-cell Transmission/Reception Techniques
Advanced Multi-cell Transmission/Reception Techniques

- **Use of advanced multi-cell transmission/reception techniques**
  - Use advanced multi-cell transmission/reception, i.e., coordinated multipoint transmission/reception, to increase frequency efficiency and cell edge user throughput
  - Proposed techniques –
    - Fast inter-cell interference (ICI) management (i.e., inter-cell interference coordination (ICIC)) aiming at inter-cell orthogonalization
    - Fast handover at different cell sites

- **Use cell structure employing sets of remote radio equipment (RREs) more actively in addition to cell structure employing independent eNB**
  - RREs are beneficial to both ICI management and fast handover
## Inter-cell Orthogonalization

- **One-cell frequency reuse**
  - Baseline is one-cell frequency reuse to achieve high system capacity

- **Intra-cell orthogonalization**
  - Achieves intra-cell orthogonal multi-access (multiplexing) in both links as well as in Rel-8 LTE

- **Inter-cell orthogonalization**
  - Although ICIC is adopted in Rel-8 LTE, it only introduces fractional frequency reuse at cell edge with slow control speed using control signals via backhaul
  - Inter-cell orthogonality will be established in LTE-Advanced to achieve high frequency efficiency and high data rate at cell edge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intra-Cell Site</th>
<th>W-CDMA</th>
<th>LTE (Rel-8)</th>
<th>LTE-Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>(Partially) orthogonal</td>
<td>Orthogonal</td>
<td>Orthogonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL</td>
<td>Non-orthogonal</td>
<td>Orthogonal</td>
<td>Orthogonal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inter-Cell Site</th>
<th>W-CDMA</th>
<th>LTE (Rel-8)</th>
<th>LTE-Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Non-orthogonal</td>
<td>Non-orthogonal</td>
<td>(Quasi)-orthogonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL</td>
<td>Non-orthogonal</td>
<td>Non-orthogonal</td>
<td>(Quasi)-orthogonal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Achieve inter-cell orthogonality through fast inter-cell interference (ICI) management

- **Centralized control**: ICI management among RRE cells using scheduling at central eNB
  - Achieves complete inter-cell orthogonality
- **Autonomous control** (similar to Rel-8 LTE method): ICI management among independent eNBs using control signals via backhaul and/or air
  - Achieves inter-cell quasi-orthogonality through faster control compared to Rel-8 LTE to achieve fractional frequency reuse at cell edge
Centralized control using remote radio equipment (RRE)
- DL
  - Fast cell selection (FCS) in L1
- UL
  - Multicell reception (MCR) with diversity combining at central eNB

Autonomous control among independent eNBs
- DL
  - Faster cell selection than that for Rel-8 LTE, i.e., as fast as possible, in L1 using bicast/forwarding in L2/L3
- UL
  - Simultaneous reception at multiple cells or faster cell selection than that for Rel-8 LTE
Enhanced Multi-antenna Transmission Techniques
Necessity of higher-order MIMO channel transmissions

Traffic demand in the era of LTE-Advanced
- Requires higher peak frequency efficiency than that for Rel-8 LTE to satisfy the increased traffic demand in LTE-Advanced era
  ➔ Increased number of antennas directly contributes to achieving higher peak spectrum efficiency

Local area optimization
- Since LTE-Advanced will focus on local area, higher peak frequency efficiency also contributes to increase in average frequency efficiency
- Higher-order MIMO is more practical in local areas
Number of Antennas Considered for LTE-Advanced

- User throughput is significantly improved according to the increase in the number of transmitter and receiver antennas, i.e., more effective than increasing modulation level
- Proposals for the number of supported antennas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LTE (Rel-8)</th>
<th>LTE-Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Baseline: 2-by-2 MIMO</td>
<td>Baselines: 2-by-2, 4-by-2, and 4-by-4 according to UE categories and eNB types (optimization condition is FFS) Max: 8-by-8 MIMO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max: 4-by-4 MIMO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL</td>
<td>Baseline: 1-by-2 SIMO</td>
<td>Baselines: 2-by-2 and 2-by-4 according to eNB types Max: 4-by-4(8) MIMO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- All Rel-8 LTE MIMO channel techniques should be enhanced and applied to LTE-Advanced
  - MIMO transmission mode control according to different requirements/targets
  - Adaptive rank control according to channel conditions
  - Adaptive rate control through modulation and coding rates
  - Codebook based precoding
Enhanced Techniques to Extend Coverage Area
Enhanced Techniques to Extend Coverage (1)

- **RREs using optical fiber ("sector" belonging to the same eNB)**
  - Effective in implementation of small size of eNB
  - Should be used in LTE-Advanced as effective technique to extend cell coverage
Enhanced Techniques to Extend Coverage (2)

▪ Relays using radio
  ▪ L1 relays with non-regenerative transmission, i.e., repeaters
    ✓ Use the same (or different) frequency/time resources
    ✓ Repeaters are effective in improving coverage in existing cells
    ✓ Since delay is shorter than cyclic prefix duration, no distinct additional change to radio interface is necessary
    ✓ Should be used as well as in 2G/3G networks

![Diagram showing interference management and noise reduction with relays using radio]
Enhanced Techniques to Extend Coverage (3)

- Relays using radio
  - L2 and L3 relays
    ✓ Use different frequency/time resources
    ✓ L2 and L3 relays can achieve wide coverage extension via increase in SNR
    ✓ Problems to be solved are efficient radio resource assignment to signals to/from relay station, and long delay due to relay, etc.
Conclusion

- Rel-8 LTE
  - Commercial equipment is under development
- Targets for LTE-Advanced
  - Minimum requirement is to meet or exceed ITU-R requirements within ITU-R time plan
  - LTE-Advanced targets higher performance than that for Rel-8 LTE
- Proposed radio access techniques for LTE-Advanced
  - Asymmetric wider transmission bandwidth to reduce network cost per bit and to achieve required peak data rate
  - Layered OFDMA using layered physical channel structure with adaptive multi-access control to support layered environments and to achieve high commonality with Rel-8 LTE
  - Advanced multi-cell transmission/reception techniques with inter-cell orthogonalization and fast handover
  - Enhanced multi-antenna transmission techniques including higher-order MIMO channel transmission using larger number of antennas
  - Efficient modulation/detection and coding techniques
  - Enhanced techniques to extend coverage area such as RREs and relays using radio including repeaters